

Regularity of the extremal solutions for the Liouville system

L. Dupaigne^{1,2}, A. Farina¹ and B. Sirakov³

July 16, 2012

¹LAMFA, UMR CNRS 7352, Université Picardie Jules Verne
33, rue St Leu, 80039 Amiens, France

²corresponding author, louis.dupaigne@math.cnrs.fr

³Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio) Departamento de
Matemática Rua Marquês de São Vicente, 225, Gávea Rio de Janeiro - RJ, CEP
22451-900, Brasil

In this short note, we study the smoothness of the extremal solutions to the following system of equations:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu e^v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta v = \lambda e^u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda, \mu > 0$ are parameters and Ω is a smoothly bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$. As shown by M. Montenegro (see [6]), there exists a limiting curve Υ in the first quadrant of the (λ, μ) -plane serving as borderline for existence of classical solutions of (1). He also proved the existence of a weak solution u^* for every (λ^*, μ^*) on the curve Υ and left open the question of its regularity. Following standard terminology (see e.g. the books [3], [5] for an introduction to this vast subject), u^* is called an extremal solution. Our result is the following.

Theorem 1 *Let $1 \leq N \leq 9$. Then, extremal solutions to (1) are smooth.*

Remark 2 *C. Cowan ([1]) recently obtained the same result under the further assumption that $(N-2)/8 < \lambda/\mu < 8/(N-2)$.*

Any extremal solution u^* is obtained as the increasing pointwise limit of a sequence of regular solutions (u_n) associated to parameters $(\lambda_n, \mu_n) = (1 - 1/n)(\lambda^*, \mu^*)$. In addition, see [6], u_n is stable in the sense that the principal eigenvalue of the linearized operator associated to (1) is nonnegative. In other words, there exist $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ and two positive functions $\varphi_1, \psi_1 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta \varphi_1 - g'(v)\psi_1 = \lambda_1 \varphi_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta \psi_1 - f'(u)\varphi_1 = \lambda_1 \psi_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi_1 = \psi_1 = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where, in the context of (1), $g(v) = e^v$ and $f(u) = e^u$. This motivates the following useful inequality.

Let f, g denote two nondecreasing C^1 functions and consider the more general system

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta v = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3 *Let $N \geq 1$ and let $(u, v) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})^2$ denote a stable solution of (3). Then, for all $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$, there holds*

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{f'(u)g'(v)}\varphi^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx$$

Remark 4 *As we just learnt, the same inequality has been obtained independently by C. Cowan and N. Ghoussoub. See [2].*

Proof. Since (u, v) is stable, there exist $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ and two positive functions $\varphi_1, \psi_1 \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ solving (2). Given $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$, multiply the first equation in (2) by φ^2/φ_1 and integrate. Then,

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} g'(v) \frac{\psi_1}{\varphi_1} \varphi^2 dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi^2}{\varphi_1} (-\Delta\varphi_1) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi_1|^2 \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_1}\right)^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{\psi_1} \nabla\varphi \nabla\varphi_1 \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\varphi}{\varphi_1} \nabla\varphi_1 - \nabla\varphi \right|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Working similarly with the second equation, we also have

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Omega} f'(u) \frac{\varphi_1}{\psi_1} \varphi^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx$$

(4) then follows by combining the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (5)- (6). \square

Thanks to the inequality (4), we obtain the following estimate.

Lemma 5 *Let $N \geq 1$. There exists a universal constant $C > 0$ such that any stable solution of (1) satisfies*

$$(7) \quad \int e^{u+v} dx \leq C |\Omega| \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right).$$

Proof. Multiply the second equation in (1) by $e^v - 1$ and integrate.

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx &\geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^u (e^v - 1) dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla (e^v - 1) dx \\ &= 4 \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla (e^{v/2} - 1) \right|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4) with test function $\varphi = e^{v/2} - 1$, it follows that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx &\geq 4\sqrt{\lambda\mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} (e^{v/2} - 1)^2 dx \\ &\geq 4\sqrt{\lambda\mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^v dx - 8\sqrt{\lambda\mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^{v/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality, $e^{v/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{v/2} \cdot \sqrt{2} \leq \frac{1}{4}e^v + 1$. So,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^{v/2} dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^v dx + \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} dx.$$

Plugging this in (9), we obtain

$$(10) \quad \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx + 8\sqrt{\lambda\mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} dx \geq 2\sqrt{\lambda\mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^v dx.$$

Similarly,

$$(11) \quad \mu \int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx + 8\sqrt{\lambda\mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} dx \geq 2\sqrt{\lambda\mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^u dx.$$

Multiply (10) and (11) to get

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda\mu \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx \right)^2 + 64\lambda\mu \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} dx \right)^2 + 8\sqrt{\lambda\mu}(\lambda+\mu) \int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} dx \geq \\ 4\lambda\mu \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^u dx \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^v dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, the left-hand side in the above inequality is bounded above by

$$(13) \quad 2\lambda\mu \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx \right)^2 + C(\lambda + \mu)^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} dx \right)^2,$$

where C is a universal constant. In addition, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^u dx \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^v dx \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx \right)^2.$$

Plugging (14) in (13) and remembering that (13) is an upper bound of the left-hand side in (12), we obtain

$$(15) \quad C(\lambda + \mu)^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} dx \right)^2 \geq 2\lambda\mu \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^u dx \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^v dx.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (14), we have

$$(16) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} dx \right)^2 \leq |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} e^{u+v} dx \\ \leq |\Omega| \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^u dx \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^v dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using (16) in (15), we obtain

$$(17) \quad C \frac{(\lambda + \mu)^2}{\lambda \mu} |\Omega| \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^u dx \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} e^v dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Applying once more (14), we obtain the desired estimate. \square

We can now prove Theorem 1.

Step 1. Case $1 \leq N \leq 3$. It is enough to treat the case $N = 3$, the cases $N = 1, 2$ being easier. By (8) and (7), $e^{v/2} - 1$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ (with a uniform bound with respect to λ and μ). By the Sobolev embedding, it follows that e^v is bounded in $L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega)$. By (8) and elliptic regularity, u is bounded in $W^{2, \frac{N}{N-2}}$. For $N = 3$, $\frac{N}{N-2} > \frac{N}{2}$. By Sobolev's embedding, we deduce that u is bounded, and so must be v . This implies the desired conclusion for the corresponding extremal solution.

Step 2. General case. We adapt a method introduced in [4]. Fix $\alpha > 1/2$ and multiply the first equation in (1) by $e^{\alpha u} - 1$. Integrating over Ω , we obtain

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} (e^{\alpha u} - 1) e^v dx = \alpha \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \frac{4}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (e^{\frac{\alpha u}{2}} - 1)|^2 dx$$

By (4),

$$\sqrt{\lambda \mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} (e^{\frac{\alpha u}{2}} - 1)^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (e^{\frac{\alpha u}{2}} - 1)|^2 dx.$$

Combining these two inequalities, we deduce that

$$(18) \quad \sqrt{\lambda \mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u+v}{2}} (e^{\frac{\alpha u}{2}} - 1)^2 dx \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \mu \int_{\Omega} (e^{\alpha u} - 1) e^v dx$$

Hence,

$$(19) \quad \sqrt{\lambda \mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}u} e^{\frac{v}{2}} dx \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \mu \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u} e^v dx + 2\sqrt{\lambda \mu} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}u} e^{\frac{v}{2}} dx$$

Let us estimate the terms on the right-hand side. By Hölder's inequality,

$$(20) \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u} e^v dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}u} e^{\frac{v}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{u}{2}} e^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}v} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, it also follows from Young's inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}u} e^{\frac{v}{2}} dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u} e^v dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} e^u dx.$$

Using (7), we deduce that

$$(21) \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}u} e^{\frac{v}{2}} dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha u} e^v dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}} C |\Omega| \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right).$$

where C is the universal constant of Lemma 5.

So, gathering (19), (20), (21), and letting

$$X = \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}u} e^{\frac{v}{2}} dx \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}v} e^{\frac{u}{2}} dx,$$

we obtain

$$\sqrt{\lambda\mu} X \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} + \varepsilon \right) \mu X^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2\alpha}} Y^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} + C \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} |\Omega| \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right).$$

By symmetry, we also have

$$\sqrt{\lambda\mu} Y \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} + \varepsilon \right) \lambda Y^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2\alpha}} X^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} + C \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} |\Omega| \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right).$$

Multiplying these inequalities, we deduce that

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} + \varepsilon \right)^2 \right) XY \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right)^2 \left(1 + X^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2\alpha}} Y^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} + Y^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2\alpha}} X^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \right).$$

where $C_1 = C \frac{|\Omega|}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} + \varepsilon \right) > 0$. Hence, for every $\alpha < 4$, either X or Y must be bounded (with a uniform bound with respect to λ and μ).

Without loss of generality, $\lambda \geq \mu$ and by the maximum principle, $v \geq u$. It follows that e^u is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$ for every $p = \alpha + 1 < 5$. Using standard elliptic regularity, the result follows. \square

References

- [1] Craig Cowan, *Regularity of the extremal solutions in a Gelfand systems problem.*, Advanced Nonlinear Studies (to appear).
- [2] Craig Cowan and Nassif Ghoussoub, *Regularity of semi-stable solutions to fourth order nonlinear eigenvalue problems on general domains*, <http://fr.arxiv.org/abs/1206.3471> (15 june 2012).
- [3] Louis Dupaigne, *Stable solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 143, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2011. MR2779463 (2012i:35002)

- [4] Louis Dupaigne, Marius Ghergu, Olivier Goubet, and Guillaume Warnault, *The Gelfand problem for the biharmonic operator.*, submitted.
- [5] Pierpaolo Esposito, Nassif Ghoussoub, and Yujin Guo, *Mathematical analysis of partial differential equations modeling electrostatic MEMS*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 20, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 2010. MR2604963 (2011c:35005)
- [6] Marcelo Montenegro, *Minimal solutions for a class of elliptic systems*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **37** (2005), no. 3, 405–416, DOI 10.1112/S0024609305004248. MR2131395 (2005k:35099)